

GlideinWMS - Feature #4364

Add periodic auto-update feature to the StatusNow pages

07/17/2013 03:36 PM - Parag Mhashilkar

Status:	Closed	Start date:	07/17/2013
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:	Igor Sfiligoi	% Done:	0%
Category:	Factory & Frontend Monitoring	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	v3_2_6	Spent time:	0.00 hour
Stakeholders:	OSG, CMS		
Description			
Dear GlideinWMS team,			
I believe it would be useful for doing factory ops to have a checkbox, at least on the factoryStatusNow web page, perhaps next to the " Update table" button, that triggers the updateTable() function periodically every 3 minutes or the like. Can you please consider adding this feature?			
Best regards, Luis CERN Factory Ops			
Related issues:			
Related to GlideinWMS - Idea #6257: Minimize web page code duplication		New	05/13/2014

History

#1 - 05/06/2014 04:32 PM - Igor Sfiligoi

- Category set to Factory & Frontend Monitoring
- Status changed from New to Assigned
- Assignee changed from Parag Mhashilkar to Igor Sfiligoi
- Target version changed from v3_x to v3_2_6

The factory team thinks this is important to have.
Taking ownership.

#2 - 05/06/2014 04:33 PM - Igor Sfiligoi

- Status changed from Assigned to Feedback
- Assignee changed from Igor Sfiligoi to Marco Mambelli
- Stakeholders updated

The change was pretty simple.
The code is now in v3/4364

Please review.

#3 - 05/12/2014 10:23 AM - Parag Mhashilkar

- Assignee changed from Marco Mambelli to Igor Sfiligoi

I looked at the code. Code itself is ok, but we are just re writing same code for autoupdate function in each html file. Can we just put the function in the factory_support.js and frontend_support.js? Ideally we need a glideinwms.js but thats outside the scope of this ticket.

#4 - 05/12/2014 11:46 AM - Igor Sfiligoi

I believe the code line number is small enough to not warrant stashing it into a separate file.
I find the page much more readable this way.

#5 - 05/12/2014 03:14 PM - Igor Sfiligoi

- Assignee changed from Igor Sfiligoi to Marco Mambelli

#6 - 05/12/2014 05:59 PM - Marco Mambelli

It would be more clear to have the function in a separate module but the size of the autoupdate function is not significant compared to the amount of code in the files.

Probably some of the other code could be factored out as well to make the files more clear.

#7 - 05/13/2014 01:19 PM - Marco Mambelli

- Assignee changed from Marco Mambelli to Igor Sfiligoi

To close this ticket it can be OK to commit with the functions in the html pages as they are. I would be for opening a ticket to improve these pages by factoring out all common javascript code.

#8 - 05/13/2014 01:21 PM - Igor Sfiligoi

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

Merged into branch_v3_2.

#9 - 07/17/2014 02:45 PM - Parag Mhashilkar

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed