

## Production Operations Management Service (POMS) - Bug #19142

### Kill submission campaign not working.

02/26/2018 05:02 PM - Anna Mazzacane

<b>Status:</b>	Closed	<b>Start date:</b>	02/26/2018
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	Marc Mengel	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	v3_0_0	<b>Spent time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>First Occurred:</b>		<b>Experiment:</b>	
<b>Scope:</b>		<b>Stakeholders:</b>	

#### Description

I discussed this with Marc and understood that there are permissions issues and interactions between POMS and jobsub.

I'm wondering if we can use (and implement) the same workflow used for submission:

```
user -> POMS -> exppro -> jobsub_submit
```

So, it will be the same for killing jobs:

```
user -> POMS -> exppro -> jobsub_rm
```

and for holding them:

```
user -> POMS -> exppro -> jobsub_hold
```

#### History

##### #1 - 02/26/2018 05:03 PM - Anna Mazzacane

- Tracker changed from Bug to Task

##### #2 - 03/01/2018 11:02 AM - Marc Mengel

This is done in [0e2f5d42](#) -- we use the snapshot of the launch template used to launch the job to ssh in and setup the environment and issue jobsub\_{rm,hold,release}. Technically if they're killing all jobs for a given campaign, we use the launch template used for the first launch (it might have changed partway through?) but that should still be okay.

##### #3 - 03/01/2018 11:04 AM - Marc Mengel

- Status changed from Assigned to Work in progress

- % Done changed from 0 to 80

##### #4 - 03/05/2018 07:58 PM - Anna Mazzacane

- Tracker changed from Task to Bug

##### #5 - 03/06/2018 05:00 PM - Anna Mazzacane

- Status changed from Work in progress to Resolved

- % Done changed from 80 to 100

##### #6 - 03/14/2018 12:04 PM - Anna Mazzacane

- Status changed from Resolved to Closed