

comments/suggestions:

- I-2, title: "of the DAMIC" -> "with the DAMIC"
- I-12, abstract: "considering"-> "despite"
- I-14, abstract: "excluded for the first time"->"excluded the first time using the same nuclear target."
rephrased
- I-20, "induce"->"would induce" add "if arranged in a halo surrounding the galaxy [ref to DM halo model]"
- I-48-50: change to present tense "will be"->"is", etc
- I-77: ""CCD rows"->"pixel rows"
- Fig 3: Add a vertical line at 60 eVee. Add in the caption this is the DM search analysis threshold.
- I-125: Add reference for equations 3,4.
eq.8 given a couple of lines before
- I-136: Do we know if the measured values of A and b are consistent with eqs. 3,4 and the other physical parameters?
answer by mail
- I-140-142: explain why/how briefly?
- I-145: "to more than ten operations"->"for more than ten operational configurations"
- I-145: "the orgainzation"->"the arrangement"
- I-147: "data was"->"data were"
data is used all over the paper as neutral (thus singular)
- I-150: add "in the Silicon Detector Falicity (SiDet) at"
rephrased
- I-157: "image 'blank'"->"'blank' image"
- I-195-196: "11x11 moving window"-> "moving 11x11 pixel window"
- I-201: "is 1 pix"->"is initially set to 1 pix"
- p 7-8: maybe add an image of a candidate event cluster in the 1x1 showing its symmetric gaussian shape, and resulting fit parameters?
Maybe also one of a candidate event in the 1x100?
- I-253-254: "spectrally"->"by their spectrum"
- I-259: "or less"-> ", or less, "

- I-301: Mention here also that the ionization efficiency used is extracted from dedicated measurements , reference to Antonella and SbBe experiments.
- I-308: Are these the same datasets as in Table I? If so, say that "k runs over the different data sets in Table I".
k runs over the datasets in Tab1
- I-354: add "using the same target nucleus."
- I-355 Acknowledgements. please add
"We acknowledge support from M'exico's CONACYT (grant No. 240666), and DGAPA-UNAM (PAPIIT grants IB100413 and IN112213)."